

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/766,520                   | 01/19/2001      | Jin-Sung Kim         | 41546/DBP/Y35           | 9778             |  |
| 23363                        | 7590 07/18/2003 |                      |                         |                  |  |
| CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| SUITE 500                    | OLORADO BOULEVA | ARD                  | TSANG FOSTER, SUSY N    |                  |  |
| PASADENA                     | , CA 91105      |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                              |                 |                      | 1745                    |                  |  |
|                              |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003 | 12               |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | 1  | 1   | - <del>(1)</del>       |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| •   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | G                      |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | 09/766,520   | KIM ET AL.  | 0                      |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |                        |  |  |  |  |
|   | Susy N Tsang-Foster  | 1745  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet v  | vith the correspondence ac  | ddress                 |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a<br>within the statutory minimum of th<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO<br>cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered time  NTHS from the mailing date of this of  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ely.<br>communication. |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 A</u>   | Ap <u>ril 2003</u> .   |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| , <del></del>   | is action is non-final.  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.  | •• —   |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,5,7 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| · <u> </u>  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6 and 8 is/are rejected.  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
|   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers   | r election requirement.  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) M Interview   | v Summary (PTO-413) Paper N   | o(s) 7                 |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) 🔲 Notice o  | f Informal Patent Application (P  |                        |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1745

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-4 and 6-8 and the species of formula (I) as the sulfone based organic compound and the alkenyl group species for R and R' in Paper No. 12 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). However, the elected species for R and R' do not read on claims 2 and 7 since applicant elected alkenyl group species for R and R' and not halogen substituted alkenyl group species for R and R'.
- 2. Claims 2, 5, 7, and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
  1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 12.

## Response to Amendment

3. This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed on 1/6/2003. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 have been amended and claims 6 to 9 are added. Claims 1-9 are pending. Claims 2, 5, 7, and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a nonelected invention or species.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,520

Art Unit: 1745

With respect to the declaration under filed on 1/6/2003, the JP11-329494 A reference applied below is a statutory bar under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and thus cannot be overcome by an affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.131.

It is noted that the applicant's declaration was made under 37 CFR 1.132 which is not applicable to the art rejection made below since the rejection is based on 35 USC 102 and not on 35 USC 103.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8 are rejected for reasons given below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the JPO Machine Translation for JP 11-329494 A.

See paragraphs 1, 5-18, and 26-32 of the machine translation of the reference.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,520

Art Unit: 1745

## **Double Patenting**

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5, and 18 of copending Application No. 09/569185. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of copending claims 1, 5, and 18 encompass and anticipate claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8 of the present application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to examiner Susy Tsang-Foster, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,520

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at (703) 308-2383. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5900.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After-Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

St/13 July 2003

Anny Jany Joseph